

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/619,673		07/16/2003	Hidemasa Iijima	2003-0972A	7051		
513	7590	04/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		IND & PONACK,	HALPER	HALPERN, MARK			
	2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHIN	WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1731	1731		

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/619,673	IIJIMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Halpern	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	- action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No	l Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	•
•	•		
	•		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/619,673

Art Unit: 1731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1) Claims 1-8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oechsle (DE 19956752).

Claim 1; Oechsle discloses a paper machine that includes a plurality of belt mechanisms on which a formed wet web is transferring from press unit in nip between rolls 6 and 7, to press unit in nip between rolls 8 and 9, said traveling on belts 3, 13, 4, 14, respectively, to a first dryer unit 12 and then to a second dryer unit. The last press unit, the first dryer unit and the second dryer unit include a driving mechanism, thus having the ability to have the transfer speed of said units individually set and controlled from control 17 (Figure).

Claims 2-8: the speed at which a first dryer unit, a second dryer unit, or a press unit is set in a transfer of a wet web is a method operational and not a structural limitation that is differentiating over the cited prior art in an apparatus claim.

Art Unit: 1731

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 9-16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oechsle, with or without Weitzel (DE 9207656) and Sollinger (6,024,836).

Claim 9: Oechsle is applied as above for claim 1, Oechsle does not disclose other drying units arranged downstream of the first and the second dryer unit, however, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the Oechsle uses only two dryer units as an example, and would provide additional dryer units downstream of the second dryer unit including individual drive speed setting and control to accomplish the required drying of the formed web. If necessary, Weitzel and Sollinger disclose additional dryer sets following the second dryer sets, each drying set containing at least one dryer unit in a set following the second dryer set, each dryer set having individual speed setting and control means.

Claims 10-16: the speed at which a first dryer unit, a second dryer unit, another dryer unit past the second dryer, or a press unit is set in a transfer of a wet web is a method operational and not a structural limitation that is differentiating over the cited prior art in an apparatus claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,673

Art Unit: 1731

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Halpern

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1731